

Multilateral CSA Notice

Regulation 45-107 respecting Listing Representation and Statutory Rights of **Action Disclosure Exemptions**

June 25, 2015

Introduction

All of the members of the Canadian Securities Administrators (the CSA), other than the securities regulatory authorities in Ontario and British Columbia (the participating jurisdictions or we), are implementing Regulation 45-107 respecting Listing Representation and Statutory Rights of Action Disclosure Exemptions (Regulation 45-107).

Regulation 45-107 is not being proposed in Ontario and British Columbia as in those jurisdictions local regulations address or are expected to address the issues discussed below, as necessary. Provided all necessary ministerial approvals are obtained, Regulation 45-107 will come into force on September 8, 2015.

Substance and Purpose of Regulation 45-107

Regulation 45-107 provides exemptions from certain requirements of the securities legislation of the participating jurisdictions that apply in the context of prospectus exempt financings conducted by foreign issuers and by investment dealers or international dealers acting as underwriters, and offered to institutional and other sophisticated investors in Canada on a private placement basis.

The purpose of Regulation 45-107 is two-fold. First, in the context of the international financings referred to above, it provides an exemption from the statutory prohibition against making a representation about the intention to list securities on an exchange or market. Second, it provides an exemption from the requirement that applies in some of the participating jurisdictions, that an offering document used in connection with a prospectus exempt distribution include a prescribed statement with respect to certain statutory rights of action. As a consequence, Regulation 45-107 eliminates two of the disclosure requirements that result in the preparation of a "wrapper" when foreign securities are offered under a prospectus exemption in Canada as part of a global offering. This may facilitate participation by sophisticated investors that qualify as permitted clients in foreign securities offerings.

Regulation 45-107 will codify certain discretionary exemptive relief that the CSA has been granting in the context of U.S. and international offerings of securities to Canadian institutional and other sophisticated investors and consequently alleviate the need for these discretionary exemption applications.

Background

The participating jurisdictions previously requested comment on Regulation 45-107. On November 28, 2013 we published a Notice and Request for Comment relating to Regulation 45-107 (the **November 2013 materials**).

Summary of Written Comments Received by the participating jurisdictions

The comment period for the November 2013 materials ended on February 26, 2014 and the participating jurisdictions received submissions from seven commenters. The comment letters on the November 2013 materials can be viewed on the Alberta Securities Commission's website at <u>www.asc.ca</u> and on the Autorité des marchés financiers website at <u>www.lautorite.qc.ca</u>.

We have considered the comments received and thank all of the commenters for their input. The names of the commenters are contained in Annex A and a summary of their comments, together with our responses, is contained in Annex B.

Summary of Changes to the November 2013 materials

After considering the comments received, we have made some revisions to the November 2013 materials that were published for comment. Those revisions are reflected in Regulation 45-107 which we are publishing concurrently with this notice. As these changes are not material, we are not republishing Regulation 45-107 for a further comment period.

The key changes from the November 2013 materials are as follows:

- We removed the requirement to provide a description of the statutory rights of action for misrepresentation that are available in New Brunswick, Nova Scotia and Saskatchewan in the exempt offering document or notice delivered to a permitted client. Instead, the exempt offering document or notice is only required to include notification that statutory rights of action exist. We have proposed standardized language for the disclosure statement.
- We revised Regulation 45-107 to use the terms "registered dealer" or "international dealer" rather than "specified firm registrant". This will align Regulation 45-107 with the terms of the discretionary exemptive relief orders as well as with the amendments made to *Regulation 33-105 respecting Underwriting Conflicts* (**Regulation 33-105**).

Related Amendments

The CSA is also proposing amendments to Regulation 33-105 to provide relief, in the context of these same U.S. and international offerings to institutional and other sophisticated investors, from the requirement in Regulation 33-105 to provide disclosure relating to connected and related issuers in a prospectus-exempt disclosure document. The proposed exemption from Regulation 33-105 will apply to all offerings (registered or unregistered) made in the U.S. to

U.S. investors, provided that the same disclosure that is provided to U.S. investors is also provided to Canadian investors.

Local Matters

Annex C is being published in any local jurisdiction that is making related changes to local securities legislation, including changes to local notices or other policy instruments in that jurisdiction. It also includes any additional information that is relevant to that jurisdiction only.

Contents of Annexes

The following annexes form part of this Multilateral CSA Notice:

Annex A	List of Commenters
Annex B	Summary of Comments and Responses
Annex C	Local Matters

Questions

Please refer your questions to any of:

Kristina Beauclair Senior Securities Analyst, Corporate Finance Autorité des marchés financiers 514 395-0337 ext. 4397 <u>kristina.beauclair@lautorite.qc.ca</u>

Tracy Clark Senior Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance Alberta Securities Commission 403 355-2242 <u>tracy.clark@asc.ca</u>

Chris Besko Deputy Director The Manitoba Securities Commission 204 945-2561 chris.besko@gov.mb.ca

Ella-Jane Loomis Legal Counsel, Securities Financial and Consumer Services Commission (New Brunswick) Georgia Koutrikas Securities Analyst, Corporate Finance Autorité des marchés financiers 514 395-0337 ext. 4393 georgia.koutrikas@lautorite.qc.ca

Sonne Udemgba Deputy Director, Legal, Securities Division Financial and Consumer Affairs Authority of Saskatchewan 306 787-5879 sonne.udemgba@gov.sk.ca

Katharine Tummon Superintendent of Securities Prince Edward Island 902 368-4542 kptummon@gov.pe.ca

Rhonda Horte Deputy Superintendent Office of the Yukon Superintendent of Securities 506 658-2602 ella-jane.loomis@fcnb.ca

Jane Anderson Director, Policy & Market Regulation Nova Scotia Securities Commission 902 424-0179 jane.anderson@novascotia.ca 867 667-5466 <u>rhonda.horte@gov.yk.ca</u>

Gary MacDougall Superintendent of Securities Northwest Territories Securities Office 867 873-7490 gary_macdougall@gov.nt.ca

Annex A

List of Commenters

- 1. AGF Investments Inc.
- 2. Alberta Investment Management Corporation
- 3. Caisse de dépôt et placement du Québec
- 4. Davies Ward Philips & Vineberg LLP
- 5. Ontario Teachers' Pension Plan Board
- 6. RBC Global Asset Management Inc.
- 7. The Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association (SIFMA)

Annex B

Summary of Comments and Responses

Issue	Summarized Comment	CSA Response
Inconsistencies between the	The proposed disclosure	The relevant jurisdictions
notice requirements in	requirement in Regulation	(Saskatchewan, Nova Scotia
proposed sections of	45-107 does not mesh with the	and New Brunswick) support
Regulation 33-105 respecting	notice requirement of the	only requiring notification that
Underwriting Conflicts	proposed amendments to	statutory rights exist.
(Regulation 33-105),	Regulation 33-105.	
exemptive relief orders		Proposed standardized
granted to a number of large	In addition, the Discretionary	language (which is identical to
institutional Canadian and	Orders permit the Wrapper	that proposed in the
foreign dealers (Wrap Exempt	Exempt Dealers to provide a	amendments to OSC Rule
Dealers) from Canadian-	notification of the existence of	45-501) will be added to
specific disclosure	statutory rights of action to	section 3 of Regulation
requirements that must be	permitted clients instead of a	45-107.
included in a wrapper (the	description of the statutory	
Discretionary Orders) and the	rights of action.	
disclosure requirements in		
proposed Regulation 45-107	Proposed Regulation 45-107	
and OSC Rule 45-501 Ontario	and proposed OSC Rule	
Prospectus and Registration	45-501 would only provide for	
Exemptions (OSC Rule	alternative means by which	
45-501)	the statutory rights of action	
	could be described. This	
	presents two difficulties:	
	• The statutory rights of	
	action differ among the	
	four provinces that	
	have disclosure	
	requirements for the	
	statutory rights of	
	action, resulting in	
	excessively lengthy	
	disclosures; and	
	• Although a fully	
	comprehensive	
	description of the	

statutory rights of	
action could be	
provided, it would be	
less useful to investors	
than a description of	
statutory rights of	
action tailored to the	
particular offering.	
Two commenters submitted	
that, the proposed	
amendments to Regulation	
33-105 and proposed	
Regulation 45-107 would	
work best if the Canadian	
disclosure requirements could	
be satisfied though short	
standardized disclosure in the	
offering document. Regulation	
33-105 achieves this in part by	
enabling a notice to permitted	
clients to be provided within	
the offering document.	
However, this notice	
requirement does not mesh	
with the proposed disclosure	
requirement in Regulation	
45-107 which would continue	
to require a description of the	
statutory rights of action	
available in three provinces.	
_	
The required disclosure should	
be limited, at most, to	
notification of the existence of	
statutory rights of action, as is	
the case of the notices	
provided by dealers relying on	
discretionary orders, instead of	
,, ,	

	a description of these rights.	
	We understand from our	
	discussions with dealers that	
	they favour the option	
	proposed in Regulation	
	33-105 to include a short	
	Canadian section in an	
	offering document rather than	
	sending out and tracking	
	separate notices to Canadian	
	investors. We are concerned,	
	however, that dealers will be	
	reluctant to use this option if	
	they are required to include	
	the same lengthy description	
	of statutory rights of action	
	included in Canadian	
	wrappers in order to comply	
	with requirements currently	
	applicable in Ontario,	
	Saskatchewan, New	
	Brunswick and Nova Scotia.	
	Requiring instead only a	
	notification of the existence of	
	statutory rights of action, as	
	required for a prospectus filed	
	in Canada, would eliminate	
	this potential obstacle thereby	
	facilitating access to	
	distributions of foreign	
	securities for Canadian	
	permitted clients.	
Remove limitation of	The exemptions in Regulation	We do not agree that the
Exemptions to Non-Reporting	45-107 (as well as Regulation	definition of "designated
Issuers	33-105) are restricted to	foreign security" ¹ should
	issuers that are non-reporting	include securities issued by
	issuers in Canada (definition	reporting issuers. In our view,
		<u> </u>

¹Note that the term "eligible foreign security" is now used instead of "designated foreign security".

of "designated foreign	the policy basis for excluding
security").	reporting issuers is the fact
Security).	that by choosing to become
However, because a non-	reporting issuers, issuers take
Canadian entity that is a	active steps to engage with
reporting issuer may be	and participate in the
entitled to make its filings in	Canadian securities regulatory
paper format, checking the	regime and as a result such
SEDAR website alone is not	•
	issuers should be required to
sufficient to verify that a non-	comply with Canadian
Canadian issuer is not a	securities requirements.
reporting issuer in any	
Canadian jurisdiction. A	In our view, issuers should
dealer must also check the	know if they are a reporting
reporting issuer lists	issuer in a Canadian
maintained by each of the	jurisdiction, as this will impact
13 Canadian provincial and	various requirements that must
territorial securities regulatory	be complied with under
authorities.	Canadian securities law.
We submit that there is no	
policy basis for such	
restriction. The various other	
restrictions included in the	
definition of "designated	
foreign security" achieve the	
purpose of the proposed	
exemptions.	

Annex C

Local Matters

In Québec, Decision No. 2015-PDG-0099 issued by the *Autorité des marchés financiers* expressly authorizes declarations that securities will be listed or that an application has been or will be made to that end with the same conditions as those set out in Regulation 45-107. This decision is published in section 6.10 of this Bulletin of the *Autorité des marchés financiers*.